8	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/919,283	GALBI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Robert C. Scheibel.	(3)	
(2) Steven L. Webb (Reg. No. 44,395).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 April 2006	Time: <u>2:10 PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11, 13, 15, and 16  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	in summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·		
Rolun (Slawlf 4-13-6) (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)
(Applicant)	JANINGIII O MEDIESEIIIGIIVE SI	mature — ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed that the entire preamble need not be given weight based on the present language for claim 11. Examiner suggested adding the subject matter of claims 13 and 15 to claim 11 in order to require that weight be given to the entire preamble. Applicant agreed. Examiner also requested a minor typographical change to claim 16; Applicant agreed to the changes as specified in the attached Examiner's Amendment..